

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

10 ANDREW GOLDBERGER, )  
11 Plaintiff(s), ) Case No. 2:16-cv-01884-RFB-NJK  
12 vs. ) ORDER  
13 SMARTCAREOS, LLC, et al., ) (Docket No. 52)  
14 Defendant(s). )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )

16 Pending before the Court is Defendants’ motion to seal. Docket No. 52. Defendants submit that  
17 certain portions of the exhibit at Docket No. 50-3 should be redacted to maintain the confidentiality of  
18 settlement information. *Id* at 3. Defendants’ exhibit includes a statement of the settlement amount and  
19 other financial information related to the settlement. Docket No. 51-3 at 3. In compliance with the  
20 Court’s Local Rules, Defendants filed an unredacted version of the exhibit under seal. Docket No. 51.

21 Parties “who seek to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must  
22 meet the high threshold of showing that ‘compelling reasons’ support secrecy.” *Kamakana v. City &*  
23 *County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006). Those compelling reasons must outweigh  
24 the competing interests of the public in having access to the judicial records and understanding the  
25 judicial process. *Id.* at 1178-79. In this case, the Court finds that compelling reasons exist to support  
26 the requested redactions.

27 //

28 //

1 Accordingly, the motion to seal is **GRANTED**. Docket No. 52. The exhibit at Docket No. 50-3 shall  
2 remain redacted. The filing at Docket No. 51 shall remain under seal.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: March 12, 2018

5  
6   
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
8 NANCY J. KOPPE  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28